Trail Use Change Process

Request for change submitted to District **Evaluation and Trail Log Prepared Trail Use Change Survey Completed** An evaluation of the trail will take place With a detailed evaluation, a "Trail Use by qualified staff and performing a trail Change Survey" will be completed with inspection taking into account circularepresentatives from Visitor Services, Request received by a park unit for a tion, safety, trail sustainability, soils change, deletion or additional use on Technical Services, Natural and Culand geologic conditions, impacts to the an existing trail. tural Services, Defensive Planning and resources and the park operations. A Park Management giving input. detailed trail log will be developed taking into consideration these criteria. Gather input from local trail user **Construction Work Log Prepared** groups or Local Trail **Project Evaluation Form and CEQA Recommendation by Evaluation Team** Advisory Committee When a trail is opened to additional Categorical Exemption With the completion of a "Trail Use use types some form of modification A Construction Work Log will be pre-Change Survey" there will be a recom-Required for will be necessary to accommodate that pared based on the recommended change. Trail modifications will require mendation of allowing the change in Change in Use physical trail modifications needed to a Project Evaluation Form (PEF) and use, not allowing the change in use, accommodate the change in use. CEQA compliance. The PEF will reconditional approval pending modificacord the Park Unit's decision of the tion of the existing trail or rerouting of change in use. All change in use the existing trail or recommendation of evaluations will have an accompanying a Unit Road and Trail Management PEF document which may require the Plan. filing of a Categorical Exemption with the State Clearing House. Unit Road & Trail Management Plan MND Required for EIR Required for **No Project** Change in Use Change in Use Trail Reroute **Additional** Trail Tread Modifications **Studies Required Construction Cost Estimate Prepared Work Plan Developed Project Implementation** A work plan will be prepared that incor-A construction cost estimate will be Project implementation includes not porates all aspects of project impleprepared from the Construction Work only trail modifications but also the fumentation including trail modifications, Log to establish budgetary costs for ture plans for any needed enforcethe implementation of the trail use despermits and workforce plan. ment, patrol development and user ignation change. education program plans.

| _ | | | Park (Including Classification): |
|----|-----|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | | Trail Name: |
| 1 | | | Location in Unit: |
| 1 | | | Current Use Designation(s): |
| • | | | • • • • |
| | | | Proposed Use Type Change: |
| | | | Use Change Initiated By: |
| | | | Evaluation Date: |
| No | Yes | | Evaluation Criteria |
| | | riteria, is this Use Change Compatible? | Based on C |
| | | this Use Change Enhance Circulation? | Based on Criteria, does |
| | | nis Use Change Decrease Trail Safety? | Based on Criteria, will the |
| | | ninable Under Existing Use Conditions? | Based on Criteria, is the Trail Susta |
| | | e Change Will the Trail be Sustainable | |
| | | Used Change Create Negative Impacts | Based on Criteria, will the Proposed |
| | | to the Natural or Cultural Resources? nd/or Modifications to the Existing Trail | Will the Proposed Use Change a |
| | | aintenance or Operational Work Load? | |
| | | n Nearby Public Lands that Adequately | |
| | | odate the Type of Trail Use Proposed? | Accomm |
| | | cations trigger outside agency permits? | |
| | Box | aluation Criteria - Substantiate in Comment | |
| | | al Plan or Road and Trail Management | |
| | | nended to Evaluate this Change in Use | · |
| | | osed Change in Trail Use be Approved Change in Trail Use be Approved After | |
| | | Design Modifications are Implemented: | • |
| | | eroute be Considered to Accommodate | |
| | | Proposed Change in Use | |
| | | I Change in Trail Use be Approved with | |
| | | ernating Days of Use, One Way Travel, Seasonal Closures etc. | Management Options such as: Alt |
| | | ge Use be Put on Hold - See Comment | Recommend that the Proposed Chan |
| | | Box Below | research and the Proposed Chair |
| | | | |



Summary Criteria Evaluation Based on the Synthesis of Data from the Following Pages

Insert Map of Area of Proposed Use Change

| Comments: | | |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Evaluation Team Members: | | |
| • | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Multiple trail route use change proposals in one unit may recommend development or amendment of a unit wide road and trail transportation management plan.

Qualified Department District Staff, including a DPR Trained Trail Coordinator will complete this survey and checklist to:

- (1) Determine the sustainability, trail user safety and feasibility of a proposed change in allowed uses for a single existing trail.
- (2) Determine the appropriateness of proposed use change in relation to cumulative impacts to the existing uses (users, routing, hiking opportunities, etc)
- (3) Support and Document the Request with a Project Evaluation Form and associated CEQA document.
- (4) Validate the existing conditions described on the attached trail log. The trail log should address typical log elements and positive and negative attributes related to the evaluation criteria.

| Ev | aluation Criteria | Yes | No | Comments |
|-----|---|-----|--------------|--|
| #1 | Existing Conditions | | | Describe positive and negative impacts of the proposed change and any othe |
| | Check any existing conditions: | | | details related to the question to assist decision is made . Put N/A in "No" section for criteria not applicable to trail evaluated. |
| 1.1 | Does the Park Unit have a General Plan? | | | |
| | If Yes, does it address specific trail uses or other management | | | |
| 1.2 | directive supporting the proposed use change | | | |
| 1.3 | Is the "Trail" Proposed a Controlled Access Road | | | |
| 1.4 | Does the Park have an approved road and trail management plan? | | | |
| | Trail or Road Surface Type: | | eck cable | |
| 1.5 | Asphalt | | | |
| 1.6 | Concrete | | | |
| 1.7 | Gravel | | | |
| 1.8 | Native Material | | | |
| | Trail and Road Facility Use Type | | | |
| 1.9 | Public | | | |

| Eva | aluation Criteria | Yes | No | Comments |
|------|--|-----|----|---|
| 1.10 | Administration | | | |
| 1.11 | Fire Break | | | |
| 1.12 | Motorized Recreation | | | |
| 1.13 | Non-Motorized Recreation | | | |
| 1.14 | ADA Accessible Route of Travel | | | |
| | Does the proposed route connect to a Trail Head or other | | | |
| 1.15 | Accessible Facility? | | | |
| 1.16 | Road Used as Trail Route | | | |
| | Trail Specific Facility Use Type | | | |
| 1.17 | Trail Class I, II, III, IV | | | Enter Trail Classification Here - Not Yes or No |
| | Current Trail Uses Allowed (on road or trail) | Yes | No | |
| 1.18 | Pedestrian | | | |
| 1.19 | Mountain Bike | | | |
| 1.20 | Equestrian | | | |
| 1.21 | Other - Specify in Comment Box | | | |
| #2 | Compatibility for Multi-User Trails | | | |
| | Check any existing conditions: | | | |
| 2.1 | Would the proposed use change create incompatible conflict with existing facilities (trail heads, stables, campgrounds etc)? | | | |
| 2.2 | Is it located on a trail already in a high use area and are there resource impacts? | | | |
| 2.3 | Is there significant user conflict? | | | |
| 2.4 | Is there evidence of unauthorized use? | | | |
| 2.5 | Is it consistent with park classification? | | | |
| 2.6 | Does the Proposed Use Currently Exist in the Park? | | | |
| 2.7 | Is there documented survey or statistical information that identifies | | | |
| | a need for proposed additional use designation? | | | |
| 2.8 | Is the existing trail considered ADA accessible by US Access Board? | | | |
| 2.9 | Based on Above Criteria, Is this Use Change Compatible? | | | |
| #3 | Affects to Trail Unit User Circulation Patterns | | | |
| | Check any existing conditions: | | | |
| 3.1 | Does the proposed use change provide a loop or semi loop connection? | | | |
| 3.2 | Does the change provide a legal or legitimate route for existing unauthorized trail uses or user created trail? | | | |

| Eva | aluation Criteria | Yes | No | Comments |
|------|---|-----|----|----------|
| 3.3 | Does the change provide a connection to adjacent land agency which allows similar use? | | | |
| 3.4 | Does it improve circulation or relieve congestion on other high use or at capacity trails? | | | |
| 3.5 | Does it create potential additional use changes on surrounding/adjacent or connecting trails or facilities? | | | |
| 3.6 | Does it require a seasonal closure to mitigate resource impacts? | | | |
| 3.7 | If yes, will seasonal closures disrupt circulation patterns? | | | |
| 3.8 | Based on Above Criteria, Does this Use Change Enhance Circulation | | | |
| #4 | Effects to Trail Use Safety | | | |
| | Check any existing conditions: | | | |
| 4.1 | With standard cyclic trail brushing (as required by the trail Class), is there adequate site distance for safe warning for the proposed use change? | | | |
| 4.2 | With standard cyclic slough and berm removal, is there adequate tread width for safe passage for the proposed multi-user designation? | 1 | | |
| 4.3 | With equestrian mutli-use, are tread widths safe for the pedestrian, mobility devices and/or bike user to retreat to the downhill side of trail? | | | |
| 4.4 | If tread widths for equestrian use is narrow, are the fill slopes gentle, firm and stable for the pedestrian, mobility devices and/or bike user to retreat to the downhill side of trail? | | | |
| 4.5 | Does the trail have sinuosity that slows bike users? | | | |
| 4.6 | Can sinuosity be designed into existing trail tread alignment to slow bike users? | | | |
| 4.7 | Does the use change require removal of special concern plant species to maintain adequate trail widths and sight distances? | | | |
| 4.8 | Would use type change existing conditions or cause problems for enforcement of park rules and regulations? | | | |
| 4.9 | Would use type change existing conditions or cause problems for emergency response? | | | |
| 4.10 | Would alternating days of use reduce the change of use impacts to reduce safety concerns? | | | |

| Eva | aluation Criteria | Yes | No | Comments |
|------------|--|-----|----|----------|
| 4.11 | Based on Above Criteria, Will this Use Change Decreas Trail Safety | | | |
| #5 | Effects on Trail Sustainability | | | |
| | Check any existing conditions: | | | |
| | Are trail grades commensurate with soil types, use type, season u | | | |
| 5.1 | and facilitate natural hydrologic drainage patterns such as she flov | /? | | |
| 5.2 | Is the trail drainage being captured and released on hillsides a | | | |
| 5.0 | not at natural topographic drainage feature | | | |
| 5.3 | Trail tread firm and stable | | | |
| 5.4 | Are there abrupt changes in trail running grade Is the fill slope stable | | | |
| 5.5 5.6 | Is the back slope/cut bank stable | | | |
| 5.7 | Does the trail tread remain firm and stable in wet conditions | | | |
| 5.7 | Supporting Data From Trail Log | | | |
| 5.8 | Number of Water Bars required for proper drainage | | | |
| 5.9 | Lineal Footage of Berms | | | |
| 5.10 | Lineal Footage of Ditches | | | |
| 5.11 | Lineal Footage Rills and Ruts | | | |
| 5.12 | Lineal Footage log Entrenched Trail | | | |
| | Describe the locations and different types of soil types | | | |
| | and matrix encountered on trail % of | | | |
| 5.13 | Rocky | | | |
| 5.14 | Rocky/Partial Soil Profile | | | |
| 5.15 | Full Soil Profile | | | |
| 5.16 | Partial Soil Profile/Sandy | | | |
| 5.17 | Sandy | | | |
| 5.18 | Based of Above Criteria, is the Trail Sustainable Under Existing Use Conditions? | | | |
| 5.19 | With the Proposed Use Change, will the Trail be Sustainable? | | | |
| | If Not Sustainable, Can Any of the Following Measures be Implemented to Make the Trail Sustainable for the Propose Use Change? | d | | |

| Ev | aluation Criteria | Yes | No | Comments |
|------|--|-----|----|----------|
| | Minor reconstruction of trail tread would: | | | |
| 5.20 | Correct lack of outslope | | | |
| 5.21 | Eliminate abrupt grade changes | | | |
| 5.22 | Stabilize unstable cut bank | | | |
| 5.23 | Stabilize unstable fill slope | | | |
| 5.24 | Correct rilling, rutting | | | |
| | Provide for firm and stable surfaces | | | |
| 5.25 | Minor realignment of trail within immediate existing trail proximity would: | | | |
| 5.26 | Stabilize unstable cut bank | | | |
| 5.27 | Stabilize unstable fill slope | | | |
| 5.28 | Eliminate abrupt grade changes | | | |
| 5.29 | Correct unsustainable grades | | | |
| 5.30 | Correct Lack of sinuosity | | | |
| 5.31 | Based on Above Criteria, Can the Trail be Made Sustainable for Proposed Use Conditions? | | | |
| 5.32 | Can wet weather closures establish or maintain Sustainability? | | | |
| 5.33 | Should a Major Reroute be Considered to Establish Sustainability? | | | |
| #6 | Effects or Impacts to the Natural or Cultural Resources Would proposed use change and/or needed modifications significantly impact: | | | |
| 6.1 | erosion of existing Trail Tread? | | | |
| 6.2 | geologic conditions? | | | |
| 6.3 | sensitive wildlife habitat? | | | |
| 6.4 | sensitive vegetation habitat? | | | |
| 6.5 | a riparian or stream environment zone | | | |
| 6.6 | a sensitive historic feature? | | | |
| 6.7 | Is the Trail a historic feature? | | | |
| | Based of Above Criteria, Would the Proposed Used Change | | | |
| 6.8 | Create Negative Impacts to the Natural or Cultural | | | |
| 47 | Resources? | | | |
| | Effects or Impacts to the Facility Maintenance and | | | |
| Op | erational Costs | | | |
| | Would proposed use change and/or needed modifications: | | | |

Trail Use Change Survey

Version 1-July 2008

| Evalua | ation Criteria | Yes | No | Comments |
|-----------------|---|-----|----|----------|
| 7.1 | Change the current classification of the trail? | | | |
| 7.2 | Create the need for fill slope or cut bank retaining walls? | | | |
| 7.3 | Require aggregate or other trail hardening techniques required to maintain tread stability? | | | |
| 7.4 | Require additional or upgrading of turnpikes or causeways? | | | |
| 7.5 | require additional bridges or puncheons? | | | |
| 7.6 | Require additional maintenance to maintain current existing conditions? | | | |
| 7.7 | Require additional management practices to maintain user compliance? | | | |
| 7.8 | Could the proposed modifications be completed by non-department work forces? | | | |
| 7.9 | Could the proposed modifications be maintained by non-department work forces with no cost to State Parks? | | | |
| 7.10 | Are durable pinch point native materials readily available? | | | |
| 7.11 | If alternating days of use by user type is a management practice, is alternating days of use able to be enforced? | | | |
| Wi | Il the Proposed Use Change and/or Modifications to the | | | |
| 7.12 E X | isting Trail Create Significant Facility Maintenance or | | | |
| Op | perational Work Loads? | | | |